

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/025,423	12/18/2001	Ronald N. Zuckermann	16141.003	6469	
7590 08/25/2006			EXAMINER		
Attn: David P. Lentini Chiron Corporation			WESSENDORF, TERESA D		
P. O. Box 8097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Emeryville, CA	A 94608	1639			

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	-			
		10/025,423	,	ZUCKERMANN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		T. D. Wesse	endorf	1639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no even will apply and will to cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to attorn to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/6/20 This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is no	or formal matters, pro		is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 13-17,21,25-29 and 33-37 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 13-17,21,25-29 and 33-37 are subject on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	wn from constitution to restriction er. epted or b) drawing(s) be	sideration. In and/or election required to by the Enterth held in abeyance. See	Examiner. 237 CFR 1.85(a).	(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		` '			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 13 and 33 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

- A). Peptoid library having a subset sequence of the generic formula I, as recited in claim 13. An example is the generic formula II of claim 33, preferably a *core or motif sequence* of the generic formula I. Note the definitions of the Rs in claim 33 as non-cationic moieties is not a species. For a proper species election, applicants are to recite the specific non-cationic moiety.
- B). The length of the peptide sequence in the library e.g., two amino acid residues for all the peptide in the library.

The species are independent or distinct because each of the species differs in structure, especially since there is no core sequence. A prior art reference anticipating one species of a subset would not render obvious the other species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon,

Art Unit: 1639

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Art Unit: 1639

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. D. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (571) 272-0812. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on (571) 272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,423

Art Unit: 1639

T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639 Page 5

Tdw

August 17, 2006